.05-19-06

Client Reference: NCR 9517

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PPLICATION OF:

Commissioner for Patents MAIL STOP: AMENDMENT

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

P.O. Box 1450

JAMES E. PRICER

SERIAL NO.:

09/779,866

FILED:

FEBRUARY 8, 2001

TITLE: "ANALYZING ASSOCIATIONS IN THE **ORDER OF TRANSACTIONS**"

888888

GROUP ART NO.:

2135

EXAMINER: BAO TRAN TO

ATTY. DOCKET NO.: NCR 9517

(069092.0105)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ATTACHED RESUBMISSION OF APPEALANT'S SUBSTITUTE APPEAL BRIEF AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS (POSTCARD AND EXPRESS MAIL RECEIPT TRACKING) ARE BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE "EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE" SERVICE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10 ON THIS 18TH DAY OF MAY, 2006, AND IS ADDRESSED TO MAIL STOP AMENDMENT, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450.

MAY 18, 2006

DATE OF MAILING: EXPRESS MAIL LABEL: MAY 18, 2006 EV778537238US

RESUBMISSION OF APPELLANT'S SUBSTITUTE APPEAL BRIEF **SUBMITTED FEBRUARY 10, 2006**

Dear Sir:

In response to the "Order Returning Undocketed Appeal to Examiner" mailed May 3, 2006, applicant is resubmitting Appellant's Substitute Appeal Brief originally filed in this matter as follows:

1) Certificate of Mailing via Express Mail EV778536745US and Appellant's Substitute Appeal Brief (37 C.F.R. § 41.37) dated February 10, 2006. Receipt confirmation of Appellant's Substitute Appeal Brief is demonstrated by the returned postcard acknowledging receipt by the OIPE on February 10, 2006; and

HOU02:1067924.1 USSN: 09/779,866 OP Client Reference: NCR 9517

MAY 1 8 2005 2) Track and Confirm Search Results for Express Mail Label 8536745US, confirming delivery at 6:05 a.m. on February 13, 2006 in Alexandria, VA 22313 to Patent Office, signed for by S. Dyar.

Applicant believes that no fees are due in association with the filing of this Response. However, should the Commissioner deem that any fees are due, Applicants respectfully request that the Commissioner accept this as a Petition therefor, and direct that any and all fees due are charged to Baker Botts L.L.P. **Deposit Account No. 02-0383**, **Order Number 069092.0105**.

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. (023640)

By:

Howard L. Speight Registration No. 37,733

One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Street

Houston, Texas 77002-4995

Telephone: 713.229.2057 Facsimile: 713.229.2757

EMail: Howard.Speight@bakerbotts.com

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

Date: May 18, 2006

HOU02:1067924.1

USSN: 09/779,866

MAY 1 8 2006 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING via EXPRESS MAIL 37 C.F.R. § 1.10

POSSET TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.10, I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE A REASONABLE BASIS FOR BELIEF THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE, ON THE DATE BELOW, IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO:

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS HONORABLE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SUSAND IONES

J.10, 0006

FEBRUARY 10, 2006

FEBRUARY 10, 2006

EV778536745US

DATE

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL

APPLICATION NUMBER:

09/799,866

FILING DATE:

FEBRUARY 8, 2001

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR:

JAMES E. PRICER

GROUP ART UNIT:

2172

EXAMINER:

BAO TRAN TO

TITLE:

"ANALYZING ASSOCIATIONS IN THE ORDER OF TRANSACTIONS"

ATTY DOCKET NUMBER:

NCR 9517

INCLUDED IN THIS MAILING FOR THE ABOVE-REFERENCED PATENT APPLICATION ARE:

1. APPELLANT'S SUBSTITUTE APPEAL BRIEF (37 C.F.R. § 41.37); AND

2. RETURN POSTCARD TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF ABOVE ITEMS.

ATTORNEY CONTACT:

JOHN D. COWART

REG. No. 38,415

NCR CORPORATION

TELEPHONE:

858.485.4903

FACSIMILE:

858.485.2581

T ACGIVIII.

050.405.2501

EMAIL:

JOHN.COWART@NCR.COM

PTO CUSTOMER NUMBER:

26890

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING VIA EXPRESS MAIL

PLIES ANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.10, I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE A REASONABLE BASIS FOR BELIEF THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS EXPRESS MAIL "POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE," AND IS ADDRESSED TO:

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

P.O. Box 1450

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

on May 18, 2006.

SUSAN D. JONES

5.18.2006

May 18, 2006

05/18/2006

EV778537238US

DATE OF MAILING

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL

U.S.S.N.:

09/779,866

FILING DATE:

FEBRUARY 8, 2001

APPLICANT:

JAMES E. PRICER

GROUP ART UNIT:

2135

EXAMINER:

BAO TRAN TO

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

NCR 9517 (609092.0105)

TITLE:

"ANALYZING ASSOCIATIONS IN THE ORDER OF TRANSACTIONS"

INCLUDED IN THIS MAILING FOR THE ABOVE-REFERENCED PATENT APPLICATION ARE:

- 1. Transmittal Letter;
- 2. PHOTOCOPY OF CERTIFICATE OF MAILING VIA EXPRESS MAIL EV778536745US DATED FEBRUARY 10, 2006;
- 3. PHOTOCOPY OF RETURN RECEIPT POSTCARD ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT BY OIPE ON FEBRUARY 10, 2006;
- 4. PHOTOCOPY OF TRACK AND CONFIRM SEARCH RESULTS FOR EXPRESS MAIL LABEL EV778536745US;
- 5. RESUBMISSION OF APPELLANT'S SUBSTITUTE APPEAL BRIEF DATED FEBRUARY 10, 2006; AND
- 6. RETURN POSTCARD TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF ABOVE ITEMS.

ATTORNEY CONTACT:

JOHN D. COWART

REG. No. 38,415

NCR CORPORATION

TELEPHONE:

858.485.4903

FACSIMILE:

858.485.2581

EMAIL:

JOHN.COWART@NCR.COM

PTO CUSTOMER NUMBER:

26890



PATENT

press Mail Label No.: EV778536745US

Date of Deposit with Express Mail: February 10, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

JAMES E. PRICER

Group Art No.

2172

Serial No.:

09/779,866

§ § §

Filed:

February 8, 2001

Examiner:

BAO TRAN TO

For:

ANALYZING ASSOCIATIONS IN THE

ORDER OF TRANSACTIONS

Attorney Docket No.: 9517

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

APPELLANT'S SUBSTITUTE APPEAL BRIEF (37 C.F.R. § 41.37)

This brief is submitted in response to the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed January 10, 2006 and in support of Appellant's notice of appeal from the decision dated October 20, 2004 of the Examiner.

I. IDENTIFICATION OF THE REAL PARTY OF INTEREST

The real party in interest is:

NCR Corporation 1700 S. Patterson Blvd Dayton, Ohio 45479

by virtue of an assignment by the inventors as duly recorded in the Assignment Branch of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

II. IDENTIFICATION OF RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals or interferences, to Applicants' knowledge.

III. STATUS OF CLAIMS

The application as originally filed contained 27 claims. Claim 21 and 25 were previously canceled. Claims 1-20, 22-24, 26, and 27 are pending. Claims 1-20, 22-24, 26, and 27 are appealed.

IV. STATUS OF ANY AMENDMENT FILED SUBSEQUENT TO FINAL REJECTION

No amendment has been filed subsequent to final rejection.

V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Applicant's invention, in a first aspect, features a method for use in analyzing associations in the order of transactions. The method includes loading data from the transactions into a database system. Page 9, Line 8-Page 10, Line 17, Figs. 5 and 6, Page 15, Line 13-Page 16, Line 5, Figs. 10 and 11. The data includes an entry for each transaction and the transactions are grouped into sessions. Page 8, Line 13-Page 10, Line 16, Figs. 5 and 6, Page 15, Line 13-Page 16, Line 5, Figs. 10 and 11. The method includes ordering the transactions within each session (Page 10, Line 22-Page 11, Line 35, Figs. 5-7, Page 16, Lines 6-13, Figs. 10 and 11) and performing an analysis of the sessions of transactions to find associations in the order of the transactions in the session. Page 12, Line 1 - Page 15, Line 12, Page 16, Lines 20-25, Figs. 10-13.

Applicant's invention, in a second aspect, features a method for use in analyzing associations in the order of transactions. The method includes loading data from the transactions into a database system, where the data includes an entry for each transaction and where loading the data includes grouping the transactions into sessions. Page 9, Line 8-Page 10, Line 17, Figs. 5 and 6, Page 15, Line 13-Page 16, Line 5, Figs. 10 and 11. The method includes selecting sessions of transactions belonging to the same group and corresponding to a single session, ordering the transactions within each session, and performing an analysis of the sessions of transactions to find associations in the order of the transactions in the sessions. Page 15, Lines

23-Page 16, Line 5, Figs. 10 and 11.

Applicant's invention, in a third aspect, features a computer program, stored on a tangible storage medium, for use in analyzing associations in the order of electronically stored transactions. Page 16, Line 25-Page 17, Line 9. The program includes executable instructions that cause a computer to load data from the transactions into a database system. The data includes an entry for each transaction and the transactions are grouped into sessions. Page 8, Line 13-Page 10, Line 16, Figs. 5 and 6, Page 15, Line 13-Page 16, Line 5, Figs. 10 and 11. The computer program further includes executable instructions that cause the computer to order the transactions within each session (Page 10, Line 22-Page 11, Line 35, Figs. 5-7, Page 16, Lines 6-13, Figs. 10 and 11) and perform an analysis of the groups of transactions to find associations in the order of the transactions in the sessions. Page 12, Line 1 - Page 15, Line 12, Page 16, Lines 20-25, Figs. 10-13.

Applicant's invention, in a fourth aspect, features a database system for use in analyzing associations in the order of transactions. The database system includes a massively parallel processing system, which includes one or more nodes and a plurality of CPUs. Page 7, Lines 31-36, Fig. 2. Each of the one or more nodes provides access to one or more CPUs. Page 7, Lines 34-36, Fig. 2. The database system further includes a plurality of virtual processes. Page 7, Lines 34-36, Fig. 2. Each of the one or more CPUs provides access to one or more virtual processes. Page 7, Lines 34-36. Each virtual process is configured to manage data stored in one of a plurality of data-storage facilities. Page 7, Lines 36-37. The database system further includes a parsing engine configured to parse transaction data and store the parsed transaction data in a table that is distributed across two or more data-storage facilities. Page 8, Lines 6-10. The data includes an entry for each transaction and the transactions are grouped into sessions. Page 8, Line 13-Page 10, Line 16, Figs. 5 and 6, Page 15, Line 13-Page 16, Line 5, Figs. 10 and 11. The database system includes a database-management component configured to operate on the table to order the transactions within each session (Page 10, Line 22-Page 11, Line 35, Figs. 5-7, Page 16, Lines 6-13, Figs. 10 and 11), and perform an analysis of the groups of transactions to find associations in the order of the transactions in the sessions. Page 12, Line 1 - Page 15, Line 12, Page 16, Lines 20-25, Figs. 10-13.

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

1. Whether claims 1-20, 22-24, 26, and 27 are anticipated under 35 USC § 102(e) by U.S. Patent No. 6,430,539 to Lazarus, *et al.* (Lazarus) or rendered obvious in light of Lazarus in combination with Patent Application Serial No. WO00/20998 by Miller, *et al* (Miller).

VIII. ARGUMENTS OF THE APPELLANT, WITH EACH ISSUE IN SEPARATE HEADINGS, WITH RESPECT TO EACH ISSUE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW

A. Lazarus does not anticipate or render obvious claims 1-20, 22-24, and 26 because Lazarus does not disclose that transactions are grouped into sessions.

In the Final Office Action dated October 20, 2005 (hereinafter, the Final Office Action) in this matter, the Examiner rejected independent claims 1, 14, and 20 under 35 USC § 102(e) as being anticipated by Lazarus. The Examiner rejected independent claim 24 as obvious under 35 USC § 103(a) in view of Lazarus and Miller.

Claim 1 requires "loading data from the transactions into a database system, where the data include an entry for each transaction and the transactions are grouped into sessions."

Claim 14 requires "selecting sessions of transactions of transactions belonging to the same group and corresponding to a single session." Claim 20 requires "[a] computer program . . . comprising executable instructions that cause a computer to load data from the transactions into a database system, where the data includes an entry for each transaction and the transactions are grouped into sessions." Likewise, claim 24 requires that "the transactions are grouped into sessions." As the specification states, "[i]t may be useful to associate transactions with individual customer 'sessions' in order to allow a meaningful analysis of the transactions." Specification, Page 2, lines 1-2.

In the Response to Arguments section, with respect to claims 1 and 20, the Examiner argued that, "Lazarus explicitly discloses that the transaction as [sic] grouped into sessions, based on time of purchase. (col 28, lines 25-40)." Final Office Action, Page 2. In the Claim Rejection section, with respect to claims 1 and 20 the Examiner cited column 3, lines 25-35 to show that, in Lazarus, "the transactions are grouped into sessions." Final Office Action, Page 4. With respect to the relevant limitation in claim 14, the Examiner cited column 3, lines 25-35. With respect to the relevant limitation in claim 24, the Examiner cited column 3, lines 25-35 and column 15 lines 55-60. The cited portions of Lazarus discuss:

In a preferred embodiment, the analysis of consumer spending uses spending data, such as credit card statements, and processes that data to identify co-occurrences of purchases within defined co-occurrence windows, which may be based on either a number of transactions, a time interval, or other sequence related criteria. Each merchant is associated with vector representation; the initial vectors for all of the merchants are randomized to present a quasi-orthogonal set of vectors in a

merchant vector space. Each consumer's transaction data reflecting their purchases (e.g. credit card statements, bank statements, and the like) is chronologically organized to reflect the general order in which purchases were made at the merchants. Analysis of each consumer's transaction data in various co-occurrence windows identifies which merchants co-occur.

Lazarus, Column 3, Lines 27-41

The cited portions of Lazarus do not discuss transactions that are grouped into sessions, as required by the independent claims. An example of determining which transactions are part of a session is illustrated in U.S. Patent Application 2002/0143925 A1 by Pricer, *et al.*, which is cited in the present Application on page 2, lines 4-7.

After parsing the Web-log data and extracting the desired information, the DBMS identifies all Web-log entries associated with an individual user session (step 315). One technique for doing so involves identifying all entries that <u>list a single user-ID code and then selecting from these the entries with date-and-time stamps that differ by less than some prescribed amount.</u>

U.S. Patent Application 2002/0143925 A1, Page 2, ¶¶ 14-15.

A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present application was filed would understand that transactions are grouped into sessions based on user activity or inactivity: so long as a user makes a Web-log log entry without a lag between entries greater than a prescribed amount of time, the session will continue. Lazarus does not recognize sessions of this type. Instead, Lazarus deals with purchases within "a number of transactions, a time interval, or other sequence related criteria," which are not sessions, but are instead arbitrary divisions of time, transactions or other factors. Lazarus, Column 3, Lines 30-32. Therefore, Lazarus does not disclose grouping the transactions into sessions.

Each of the dependant claims 2-13, 15-19, 21-24, and 27 depend from one of claims 1, 14, 20, or 24 and include one of the limitations discussed above.

Anticipation can be established only when every element of the claim is disclosed by a single prior art reference. MPEP 2131; RCA Corp. v. Applied Digital Data Systems, Inc, 730 F.2d 1440, 1444, 221 USPQ 385, 388 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The rejection of claims 1-4, 6, 14-20, and 22 under 35 USC 102(e) should be reversed because Lazarus does not disclose grouping the

transactions into sessions.

There is no prima facie case of obviousness where the asserted combination lacks at least one element. MPEP 2143; *In re Vaeck*, 947 F.2d 488, 493, 20 USPQ2d 1438, 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1991). The rejection of claims 5, 7-13, 23-24, and 26-27 under 103(a) should be reversed because Lazarus does not disclose grouping the transactions into sessions.

B. Lazarus does not anticipate or render obvious claims 1-20, 22-24, 26, and 26 because Lazarus does not disclose performing an analysis of the sequence of transactions to find associations in the sequence of transaction in the session, as required by claims 1-12, 14-27, 29-43, 45-51, and 53.

Claims 1 and 14 require "performing an analysis of the sessions of transaction to find associations in the sequence of the transactions in the sessions." Claim 20 requires the computer program to "perform an analysis of the session of transactions to find associations in the sequence of the transactions in the session." Claim 24 requires a database-management component to "perform an analysis of the sessions of transactions to find associations in the sequence of the transactions in the sessions." This analysis "produces affinity data relating to the order that transactions occur." Specification, Page 12, Lines 6-7. "For example, a web page owner may be interested to know that a customer that clicks on a first image on the web page followed by a second image may be more likely to make a purchase than a customer that clicks on the second image before the first image." Specification, Page 2, Lines 8-12. The Specification further states:

Associations are relationships between the occurrences of one or more items, sometimes called the "antecedent" or "left-hand-side," in a group of transactions and the occurrences of a different set of one or more items, sometimes called the "consequent" or "right-hand-side," in the same group of transactions. For example, if item A occurs in the first transaction (ITEM_ID="A1") and item B occurs in the second transaction (ITEM-ID="B2") in a large number of groups of transactions, then the association between ITEM-IDs A1 and B2 might be considered significant. In this case, an analyst trying to guide a customer to perform the action corresponding to ITEM_ID B might first guide the customer to perform the action corresponding to ITEM_ID A.

Associations can occur among two or more ordered items. For example, when considering four ordered items, the combinations of ordered items for which associations can be computed include $1 \rightarrow 1$, $2 \rightarrow 1$, $2 \rightarrow 2$, or $3 \rightarrow 1$, where the " \rightarrow " symbol is read "implies" and refers to an association between the ordered item on the left side of the symbol and the ordered item or items on the right side of the symbol.

Specification, Page 12, Lines 10-24.

The Examiner, in the Response to Arguments section, stated "Lazarus explicitly discloses that session analysis enables the system to determine which transactions are likely to occur together (col 10, lines 65-col 11, line 4)." Final Office Action, Page 3. In the Claim Rejection section, the Examiner cites column 4, lines 45-50 and column 5, lines 15-25 and 50-55 as disclosing this limitation for claim 1, 20, and 24. Final Office Action, Pages 4 and 10. The Examiner cites column 5, lines 15-25 and 50-55 as disclosing this limitation for claim 14. Final Office Action, Page 10.

The cited portions of Lazarus discuss "determinin[ing] which transactions are likely to occur together," as the Examiner states. Finding "which transaction are likely to occur together" is not the same thing as determining associations in the <u>sequence</u> of the transactions, as the independent claims require. To continue the web page example from above, if the web page owner only analyzed the co-occurrence of the two clicks, the customer who clicked on the second image before the first image could not be distinguished from the customer who clicked on the first image before the second image. Therefore, the passages cited by the examiner do not disclose determining associations in the sequence of the transactions.

Each of the dependant claims 2-13, 15-19, 21-24, and 27 depend from one of claims 1, 14, 20, or 24 and include one of the limitations discussed above.

Anticipation can be established only when every element of the claim is disclosed by a single prior art reference. MPEP 2131; *RCA Corp. v. Applied Digital Data Systems, Inc*, 730 F.2d 1440, 1444, 221 USPQ 385, 388 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The rejection of claims 1-4, 6, 14-20, and 22 under 35 USC 102(e) should be reversed because Lazarus does not disclose grouping the transactions into sessions.

There is no prima facie case of obviousness where the asserted combination lacks at least one element. MPEP 2143; *In re Vaeck*, 947 F.2d 488, 493, 20 USPQ2d 1438, 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1991). The rejection of claims 5, 7-13, 23-24, and 26-27 under 103(a) should be reversed because Lazarus does not disclose grouping the transactions into sessions.

Summary

The rejection of the pending claims should be reversed because none of the cited references, alone or in combination, disclose the elements required by the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Howard L. Speight

Registration No. 37,733

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

Baker Botts L.L.P. One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Houston, Texas 77002-4995

Telephone: (713) 229-2057

Fax: (713) 229-2757

Date: February 10, 2006



APPENDIX A: CLAIMS INVOLVED IN APPEAL

Claim 1 (previously presented): A method for use in analyzing associations in the sequence of transactions, the method comprising

loading data from the transactions into a database system, where the data includes an entry for each transaction and the transactions are grouped into sessions; ordering the transactions in sequence within each session; and performing an analysis of the sessions of transactions to find associations in the sequence of the transactions in the sessions.

Claim 2 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein the data for each transaction includes a time stamp related to a time that the transaction occurred and wherein ordering the transactions comprises

numbering the transactions based on the time stamps included in the data for the transactions.

Claim 3 (previously presented): The method of claim 2 wherein numbering the transactions comprises

numbering the transactions in sequence from the transaction having the earliest time stamp to the transaction having the latest time stamp.

Claim 4 (previously presented): The method of claim 1 wherein loading the data from the transactions into the database system comprises

parsing the data for each transaction into fields in the database system; and identifying one of the fields as a session identifier field where a session identifier for each transaction is stored.

Claim 5 (original): The method of claim 4 wherein loading the data from the transactions into the database system further comprises

identifying one of the fields as an item identifier field where an item identifier for each transaction is stored.

Claim 6 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein performing the analysis comprises performing an affinity analysis.

Claim 7 (previously presented): The method of claim 1 wherein loading data from the transactions into the database system comprises

parsing the transaction data into fields in a base table in the database system;

identifying one of the fields as a session identifier field where a session identifier for each transaction is stored;

identifying one of the fields as an item identifier field where an item identifier for each transaction is stored;

ordering the transactions in each session of transactions in sequence comprises concatenating a sequence number to the item identifier for each transaction to create a concatenated sequence number;

performing the analysis comprises

building one or more support tables for one or more item identifiers with the concatenated sequence number; and

calculating support, confidence and lift by joining the support tables.

Claim 8 (previously presented): The method of claim 7 wherein building the one or more support tables comprises

counting the transactions containing various combinations of item identifiers with concatenated sequence number and dividing the count by a total number of sessions to obtain a support for each of the combinations.

Claim 9 (previously presented): The method of claim 7 wherein building the one or more support tables comprises

for each item identifier with concatenated sequence number, counting the transactions containing the same item identifier with concatenated sequence number and computing the support by dividing the count by a total number of sessions and storing the item identifier with concatenated sequence number and the support in a first support table.

Claim 10 (previously presented): The method of claim 9 wherein building the one or more support tables further comprises

building a second base table by selecting transactions from the first base table that include an item identifier corresponding to an item identifier and concatenated sequence number having a support more than a predetermined value.

Claim 11 (previously presented): The method of claim 10 wherein building the one or more support tables further comprises

counting the transactions in the second base table containing various combinations of item identifiers with concatenated sequence number and dividing the count by a total number of sessions in the second base table to obtain a support for each of the combinations.

Claim 12 (previously presented): The method of claim 10 wherein building the one or more support tables further comprises

item identifiers with concatenated sequence number and dividing the count by a total number of transactions in the second base table to obtain a support for each of the combinations; and

storing the item identifiers and computed support in a two item support table.

Claim 13 (previously presented): The method of claim 10 wherein building the one or more support tables further comprises

counting the transactions in the second base table containing combinations of N specified item identifiers with concatenated sequence number and dividing the count by a total number of transactions in the second base table to obtain a support for each of the combinations; and

storing the item identifiers and computed support in an N item support table.

Claim 14 (previously presented): A method for use in analyzing associations in the order of transactions, the method comprising

loading data from the transactions into a database system, where the data includes an entry for each transaction and wherein loading the data comprises grouping the transactions into groups;

selecting sessions of transactions belonging to the same group and corresponding to a single session;

ordering the transactions in sequence within each session; and performing an analysis of the sessions of transactions to find associations in the sequence- of the transactions in the sessions.

Claim 15 (original): The method of claim 14 wherein each entry includes a time stamp related to a time that the transaction occurred and selecting comprises selecting entries with time stamps lying in a predetermined range.

Claim 16 (original): The method of claim 15 wherein ordering comprises numbering the selected entries based on their respective time stamps.

Claim 17 (original): The method of claim 16 wherein numbering comprises numbering the selected entries from the earliest to the latest.

Claim 18 (original): The method of claim 16 wherein numbering comprises numbering the selected entries from the latest to the earliest.

Claim 19 (original): The method of claim 16 wherein numbering comprises numbering the selected entries based on their respective distance in time from a reference time.

Claim 20 (previously presented): A computer program, stored on a tangible storage medium, for use in analyzing associations in the sequence of electronically stored transactions, the program comprising executable instructions that cause a computer to

load data from the transactions into a database system, where the data includes an entry for each transaction and the transactions are grouped into sessions;

order the transactions in sequence within each session; and

perform an analysis of the sessions of transactions to find associations in the sequence of the transactions in the sessions.

Claim 21 (cancelled)

Claim 22 (previously presented): The computer program of claim 20 where each entry includes a time stamp related to a time that the transaction occurred and where, in selecting sessions, the computer

selects entries with time stamps lying in a predetermined range.

Claim 23 (previously presented): The computer program of claim 20 where, in loading data from the transactions, the computer

parses the transaction data into fields in a base table in the database system;

identifies one of the fields as a session identifier field where a session-identifier for each transaction is stored;

identifies one of the fields as an item identifier field where an item identifier for each transaction is stored;

in ordering the transactions in each session of transactions, the computer concatenates a sequence number to the item identifier for each transaction; and in performing the analysis, the computer

builds one or more support tables for one or more item identifiers with concatenated sequence number; and

calculates support, confidence and lift by joining the support tables.

Claim 24 (previously presented): A database system for use in analyzing associations in the order of transactions, the database system comprising

a massively parallel processing system comprising

one or more nodes;

- a plurality of CPUs, each of the one or more nodes providing access to one or more CPUs;
- a plurality of virtual processes each of the one or more CPUs providing access to one or more virtual processes;
- each virtual process configured to manage data stored in one of a plurality of data-storage facilities;
- a parsing engine configured to parse transaction data and store the parsed transaction data in a table that is distributed across two or more data-storage facilities, where the data includes an entry for each transaction and the transactions are grouped into sessions;
- a database-management component configured to operate on the table to order the transactions in sequence within each session; and

perform an analysis of the sessions of transactions to find associations in the sequence of the transactions in the sessions.

Claim 25 (cancelled)

Claim 26 (previously presented): The database system of claim 24 where each entry includes a time stamp related to a time that the transaction occurred and where, in selecting sessions, the database management system is configured to

select entries with time stamps lying in a predetermined range.

Claim 27 (previously presented): The database system of claim 24 where, in loading data from the transactions, the database management system is configured to

parse the transaction data into fields in a base table in the database system;

identify one of the fields as a session identifier field where a session identifier for each transaction is stored;

identify one of the fields as an item identifier field where an item identifier for each transaction is stored;

order the transactions in each session of transactions in sequence comprises concatenating a sequence number to the item identifier for each transaction; and

in performing the analysis, the database management system is configured to

build one or more support tables for one or more item identifiers with concatenated sequence number; and

calculate support, confidence and lift by joining the support tables.

APPENDIX B: EVIDENCE

NONE

APPENDIX C: RELATED PROCEEDINGS

NONE

8



PRESS HARD. YOU ARE MAKING 3 COPIES

MAY 1 8 2006



Post OfficeTo Addressee

				4	<u> </u>			- 27		
ORIGIN (POST	TAL SEF	RVICE USE	ONLY)			DELI	VERY (POS	TAL USE	ONLY)	
PO ZIP Code	± 7 , 30€ a,	Day of Deliver	У		O COMPANY	Deliver	y Attempt	Time	□ AM	Employee Signature
		☐ Next ☐ 2nd	2nd Del. Day	\$		Mo.	Day		□ РМ	
		Scheduled Da	te of Delivery	Return Re	eipt Fee	Deliver	y Attempt	Time	□ам	Employee Signature
Date Accepted					'	1		1		1
-		Month	Day	\$		Mo.	Day		<u>₽м</u>	<u> </u>
Mo. Day Y	'ear	Scheduled Tir	ne of Delivery	COD Fee	Insurance Fee	Delive	ry Date	Time	□ ам	Employee Signature
Time Accepted] AM	Noon	□ з РМ	\$	\$	Mo.	Day		□рм	
l/ ::		Military		Total Post	ge & Fees		IVERIOF SIGNA	TURE (Domest	leiMail(Only)(Additional merchandischaumeneols yto bornecowithous obtaining storature
Flat Rate ☐ or Wei	PM	2nd Day	3rd Day	\$		Liot addre	issee or addresse	e s'agent ut dell	verv.emplove	e ludges that arbole can be left in secure
Flat hate 🗆 of well	gnt ·	Int'l Alpha Co	untry Code	Acceptanc	e Emp. Initials		و مستون د د		As a series of the series	ature constitutes valid proof of delivery.
			•			KIDGE	LIVERY Wee	Kend - Ho	ED)	ALCE AND A STREET OF THE STREET, STREE
lbs.	ozs.	٠.				5			, p.,	Customer Signature
METHOD OF PAYMENT:		andeles references	6. b°5(2 - £20.	77. Tr.	k bi i Agisti si si si ka kada di madana adida	Federal A	pency Acct. No. or	97. 700	14 14 17	Albert Carrier of the Control of the
Express Mail Corporate Ao	ct. No.					Postat Se	vice Acct. No.			
FROM: (PLEASE P	PRINT)	_ Am		229.	1802	то:	PLEASE PRINT)		PHONE	800 786 9199
r Bind	Pin	S. Ba		- 4	765	г	t was a sile.	-		1 -1
CYLE	BØT	TS	D	γ ΄	100		LATI S	TOP /	M	endment
DANCH	.29	PLAZ	* 0	/			PHIME S	STONE	REC	INCOMUM IR PATENTS
						2	0 80 X	1450		PROPERTY OF STREET STREET, 1
		IANA		TW: 75	000 - 6006		LEXAN	DETA		VA 22313-145
HOUST	งก			IA. El	1002-4995	**	LLANI	DULA		A AM SENTO WELL
										•
: 106 9	092	3.010	5			l ·				
	- / -	,	-			ŀ.,				
. <u>L</u>						L.				

							•			•								٠					1
EV778537238US S	Due Date:	교학원	heraphitles depter to be limited on	mittal Librate of Mailing	☐ Information Disc! Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) ☐ Notice of Appeal	Response Cargo cinuy Contan cinuy		ment(s)	☐ DrawingsSheets ☐ Formal ☐ Informal ☐ Red-Ink	☐ Letter to Official Draftsman	Assignment / Cover Letter	☐ Declaration	☐ Specification Consisting of: pages	Under 37 CFR	☐ Filing Under 37 CFR 1.114(RCE)	Design CIP Provision	☐ New Application with Transmittal Letter	N/A	USSN: 09/779, 8/16 FILED: 2 8, 8-40	BSB1001	10R-9517 106	Papers filed herewith on: 5. 18. 2006	しかい かんかいかい かいかい かんかい うえき アンドル かいかん かんかん かんかん しゅう くまさい しゅう しゅうしゅ しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅ しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう かいかい かいかい かいかい かいかい かいかい かいかい かいかい かい

Papers filed herewith on: DOCKET NO.: 06 9092.0/05 ATTY/SEC.: BSB/ON APPLICANT(S): USSN: USSN: Off 1999 RG6 FILED: 2.8.200/ PAT NO.: New Application with Transmittal Letter Utility Design CIP Provisional Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(b) CONT DIV Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA) Specification Consisting of: Declaration Power of Attorney Assignment / Cover Letter Letter to Official Draftsman Drawings Sheets Formal Information Red-Ink Priority Document(s) Amendment: Transmit Ltr Large Entity Small Entity Response Information Discl Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) Notice of Appeal Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER For PATENTS Due Date: Express Mail Lab FY 7 7 8 5 1 7 4 5 1		
DOCKET NO.:		2 10 2001
ATTY/SEC: BSR/Od/ APPLICANT(S): Darber F, Filed: 2,8,200/ PAT NO:	Pape	rs filed herewith on:
APPLICANT(S): Salure Fuces USSN: 91 799, 866 FILED: 2,8, 200/ PAT NO.: New Application with Transmittal Letter Utility Design CIP Provisional Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(b) CONT DIV Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA) Specification Consisting of: Declaration Power of Attorney Assignment / Cover Letter Letter to Official Draftsman Drawings Sheets Formal Information Red-Ink Priority Document(s) Amendment: Transmit Ltr Large Entity Small Entity Response Information Discl Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) Notice of Appeal Appeal Appeal Brief Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER For PATENTS Due Date: 2, 10, 2006	DOC	
USSN: 01 799 866 FILED: 2.8.200 PAT NO:	ATTY	
PATNO:: New Application with Transmittal Letter Utility Design CIP Provisional Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(b) CONT DIV Filing Under 37 CFR 1.114(RCE) Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA) Specification Consisting of: Declaration Power of Attorney Assignment / Cover Letter Letter to Official Draftsman Drawings_Sheets Formal Information Red-Ink Priority Document(s) Amendment: Transmtl Ltr Large Entity Small Entity Response Information Discl Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) Notice of Appeal Appeal Appeal Brief Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Communication With the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date: 2. / O. 2006	APPI	LICANT(S): Garbuse, Fuces
PATNO:: New Application with Transmittal Letter Utility Design CIP Provisional Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(b) CONT DIV Filing Under 37 CFR 1.114(RCE) Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA) Specification Consisting of: Declaration Power of Attorney Assignment / Cover Letter Letter to Official Draftsman Drawings_Sheets Formal Information Red-Ink Priority Document(s) Amendment: Transmtl Ltr Large Entity Small Entity Response Information Discl Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) Notice of Appeal Appeal Appeal Brief Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Communication With the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date: 2. / O. 2006	USS	N: 04/799,886 FILED: 2.81 2001
Utility □ Design □ CIP □ Provisional Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(b) □ CONT □ DIV Filing Under 37 CFR 1.114(RCE) Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA) Specification Consisting of: □ Declaration □ Power of Attorney □ Assignment / Cover Letter □ Letter to Official Draftsman □ Drawings Sheets □ Formal □ Informati □ Red-Ink □ Priority Document(s) □ Small Entity □ Response □ Information Discl Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) □ ref(s) □ Notice of Appeal □ Appeal Brief □ Issue Fee Transmittal □ FEES: □ Other: □ Large Entity □ Small Entity Receipt is heleby acknowledged of the Depers filed as indicated inconnection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date: □ 2. / 0. 2006	PATI	NO.:
Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(b) CONT DIV Filing Under 37 CFR 1.114(RCE) Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA) Specification Consisting of:		lew Application with Transmittal Letter
□ Filing Under 37 CFR 1.114(RCE) □ Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA) □ Specification Consisting of: □ Declaration □ Power of Attorney □ Assignment / Cover Letter □ Letter to Official Draftsman □ Drawings_Sheets □ Formal □ Information □ Red-Ink □ Priority Document(s) □ Amendment: □ Transmtl Ltr □ Large Entity □ Small Entity □ Response □ Information Discl Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) □ Notice of Appeal □ Appeal Brief □ Issue Fee Transmittal □ FEES: □ Other: □ Connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS □ Due Date: 2. / 0. 2006	O U	Itility 🖸 Design 🛈 CIP 📮 Provisional
☐ Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA) ☐ Specification Consisting of: ☐ Declaration ☐ Power of Attorney ☐ Assignment / Cover Letter ☐ Letter to Official Draftsman ☐ DrawingsSheets ☐ Formal ☐ Information ☐ Red-Ink ☐ Priority Document(s) ☐ Amendment: ☐ Transmtl Ltr ☐ Large Entity ☐ Small Entity ☐ Response ☐ Information Discl Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) ☐ Notice of Appeal ☐ Appeal Brief ☐ Issue Fee Transmittal ☐ FEES: ☐ Other: ☐ Connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ☐ Due Date: ☐ 2. / O. 2006		
□ Specification Consisting of: □ Declaration □ Power of Attorney □ Assignment / Cover Letter □ Letter to Official Draftsman □ Drawings_Sheets □ Formal □ Information □ Red-Ink □ Priority Document(s) □ Amendment: □ Transmtl Ltr □ Large Entity □ Small Entity □ Response □ Information Discl Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) □ Notice of Appeal □ Appeal Brief □ Issue Fee Transmittal □ FEES: ☑ Other: ☐ Large Entity □ Small Entity □ Receipt is heleby acknowledged of the Depers filed as indicated inconnection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date:		
Declaration Power of Attorney Assignment / Cover Letter Letter to Official Draftsman Drawings_Sheets Formal Information Red-Ink Priority Document(s) Transmtl Ltr Large Entity Small Entity Response Information Discl Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) Notice of Appeal Appeal Brief Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date: 2. O. 2006	☐ F	
Assignment / Cover Letter Letter to Official Draftsman Drawings_Sheets Formal Informal Red-Ink Priority Document(s) Amendment: Transmtl Ltr Large Entity Small Entity Response Information Discl Strant. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) Notice of Appeal Appeal Brief Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Consultation C	u s	pecification Consisting of:
Assignment / Cover Letter Letter to Official Draftsman Drawings_Sheets Formal Informal Red-Ink Priority Document(s) Amendment: Transmtl Ltr Large Entity Small Entity Response Information Discl Strant. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) Notice of Appeal Appeal Brief Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Consultation C		Declaration O 30
Assignment / Cover Letter Letter to Official Draftsman Drawings_Sheets Formal Informal Red-Ink Priority Document(s) Amendment: Transmtl Ltr Large Entity Small Entity Response Information Discl Strant. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) Notice of Appeal Appeal Brief Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Consultation C	O P	Power of Attorney
Drawings_Sheets Formal Informal Red-Ink Priority Document(s) Transmit Ltr Large Entity Small Entity Response Information DiscI Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) Notice of Appeal Appeal Brief Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Large Entity Appeal Brief Connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date: 2. O. 2006 D. 2006		ssignment / Cover Letter
Priority Document(s) Amendment: Transmtl Ltr Large Entity Small Entity Response Information Discl Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) Notice of Appeal Appeal Appeal Brief Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date: 2. 10. 2006	O L	
Priority Document(s) Amendment: Transmtl Ltr Large Entity Small Entity Response Information Discl Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) Notice of Appeal Appeal Appeal Brief Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date: 2. 10. 2006	a c	rawingsSheets 🛛 Formal 🚨 Informal 🚨 Red-Ink 🧳
□ Transmtl Ltr □ Large Entity □ Small Entity □ Response □ ref(s) □ Information Discl Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) □ ref(s) □ Notice of Appeal □ Appeal Brief □ Issue Fee Transmittal □ FEES: □ Other: □ Land □		
Response Information Disci Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) Notice of Appeal		
Information DiscI Stmnt. PTO-1449(s) ref(s) Notice of Appeal	C	Transmtl Ltr Large Entity Small Entity
Notice of Appeal Appeal Appeal Brief Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Unsulfate Substitute Butting of Malea Receipt is heleby acknowledged of the Depers filed as indicated in connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date: 2. 10. 2006		
Issue Fee Transmittal FEES: Other: Charlets Substitute Charlets of Males. Receipt is heleby acknowledged of the bapers filed as indicated in connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date: 2. 10. 2006	Q 1	
Other: Consultate Substitute By Charles of Males Receipt is heleby acknowledged of the Dapers filed as indicated in connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date: 2. 10. 2006		lotice of Appeal
Other: Uppellate Substitute A mild Srief B Uttivity of Malesa Receipt is heleby acknowledged of the Depers filed as indicated in connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date: 2. 10. 3006		ssue Fee Transmittal
Receipt is helicity acknowledged of the depers filed as indicated in connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date:	O F	EES:
Receipt is hereby acknowledged of the depers filed as indicated in connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date:	X (0	Other: Uppellats Substitute
Receipt is hereby acknowledged of the depers filed as indicated in connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date:		appell Brief
connection with the above identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Due Date:	8	
PATENTS Due Date:	Rec	eipt is heleby acknowledged of the papers filed as indicated i
Due Date: 2. /0. 2006		
		2 10.2000
Express Mail Lab		Julio
	Ехрі	ress Mail Lab 「ロノフフム ロコレラ山 ロー

RECEIVED
MAR 6 2006

BAKER BOTTS LLP





Home | Ho

ACCESS TO CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

Track & Confirm

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: EV77 8536 745U S

Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 6:05 am on February 13, 2006 in ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313 to PATENT OFFICE . The item was signed for by S DYAR.

Additional Details >)

(Return to USPS.com Home >

Track & Confirm	14. 16.4
Enter Label/Receipt Num	ber.

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. (Go>)

Proof of Delivery

Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. (Go >





contact us government services jobs National & Premier Accounts Copyright © 1999-2004 USPS. All Rights Reserved. Terms of Use Privacy Policy site map

Mailing Label Label 11-F, April 2004

50

33

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE ®

Post Office To Addressee

800 786 9199

. •				MA	/ 1 8 2006 L
ORIGIN (PO	STAL SE	RVICE USE	ONLY)		
PO ZIP Code		Day of Delive		Pos	.8/
		□ Next □ 2nd	2nd Del. Day	\$	BADBANA
		Scheduled Da	te of Delivery	Return Rece	ipt Fee
Date Accepted		Month	Day	\$	
Mo. Day	Year	Scheduled Ti	ne of Delivery	COD Fee	Insurance Fee
Time Accepted	□ AM	Noon	□з₽М	\$	\$
	-	Military		Total Postag	e & Fees
	□ PM]		\$	
Flat Rate or V	Veight	2nd Day	3rd Day	9	
,		Int'l Alpha Co	untry Code	Acceptance	Emp. Initials
lbs.	ozs.	<u> </u>			
CUSTOMER USE					1. America (Company)
METHOD OF PAYME	NT:				
Europe Mail Compret	Acrt No				

	VERY (POS y Attempt	Time	□ам	Employee Signature
Mo.	Day	1	□рм	
Deliver	y Attempt	Time	□ AM	.Employee Signature
Mo.	Day		□рм	
Delive	ry Date	Time	□ AM	Employee Signature
Mo.	Day		□рм	
- WA	IVER OF SIGNA	TURE (Domes)	ic Mail Only:	Additional merchandise insurance is / 1 4 4 5 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10
of addire	ssee or addresse	e s Boent (It del	ivery employe	e nicolas platientica can be jet in source.
17.0		and a l		
450				Constant Squattre Co. Co. Co. Co. Co.

229.1802 ONE SHELL PLAZA 910 EDUISIANA ST HOUSTON TX 77002-4995

MAIL STOP COMMISSIONER PO BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA

VA 22313-1450

069092.0105

FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING: Visit WWW.USPS.COM

Call 1-800-222-1811

Receipt is heleby ac connection with the a PATENTS Express Mail Lab. Due Date: New Application with Transmittal Letter

Utility ☐ Design ☐ CIP ☐ Provisional

Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(b) ☐ CONT ☐ DIV Filing Under 37 CFR 1.114(RCE) Notice of Appeal Information Disci Stmnt. Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA) Issue Fee Transmittal Priority Document(s) Letter to Official Draftsman Power of Attorney EV778536745US Medged of the papers filed as indicated in e identified case. COMMISSIONER FOR PTO-1449(s) 10.2006 0 Small Entity Informal Appeal Brief Red-Ink . pages

MCG-8217

PRESS HARD. YOU ARE MAKING 3 COPIES